

# **Decarbonising Road Freight**

**Results of expert opinion survey** 

Francisco Furtado, ITF-OECD

28 June 2018





## **INTRODUCTION**

# The expert survey is part of the ITF Decarbonising Transport initiative's thematic work stream on Road Freight transport, aiming to

- Gather initial evidence on cost-effectiveness, market uptake and barriers to implement different measures
  - Logistics and supply chains
  - Alternative Fuels
  - Vehicle and engine efficiency
  - Intelligent systems and driver training
- Explore how emerging market trends, new entrants and disruptive technologies might shape the sector
- Identify pressing challenges and policy priorities



# **INTRODUCTION**

The survey was sent to experts in government, industry, civil society and academia.

- 108 experts responded
- 80% of respondents European based, 20% are non-European based
- 30% government
  28% private sector
  25% research/academia
  18% international organisations or NGOs

#### In which area do you work?





# **Logistics and supply chains**





 Optimisation and collaboration most
 effective logistics
 measure reducing
 emissions (above
 overall average)

All logistic measures have more impacts on operational improvements than for reducing emissions



#### Logistics and supply chains

### BARRIERS

	Optimisation and Collaboration	Modular packaging units	Widening delivery windows
1	Industry-level coordination and cooperation	Industry-level coordination and cooperation	Goes against market trends
2	Market structure and lack of scale at individual company level	Market structure and competitive pressure	Permits, standards and regulations
3	Cultural barriers in the industry	Vehicle design	Cultural barriers in the industry/Lack of government support and incentives

 Industry level coordination and market structure are the major barriers for Optimisation and Modular units.
 Market trends and existing permits/regulations are the key barriers to widening the delivery windows.



If delivery windows are not relaxed it is impossible to increase vehicle capacity utilisation (even when other measures are deployed)?





□ When do you think "The Physical Internet" will be in widespread use?





# **Alternative Fuels**





**COST-EFFECTIVENESS** 

### Different alternative mix for different operation types

- Full-battery electric (2<sup>nd</sup> Hybrid) for Urban
- Hybrid (2<sup>nd</sup> Gas/Fullbattery) for *Regional*
- Biofuels (2<sup>nd</sup> Electric road) for Long-haul

Urban Regional Long-haul



#### TIMELINE



By 2020 Between 2020 and 2030 Between 2030 and 2050 After 2050 Never I don't know

#### International Transport Forum Alternative Fuels

#### BARRIERS



#### □ Key overall barrier is "charging and distribution network"



# □ For Biofuels key barrier is the difficulty to scale up production, vehicle costs for Hybrids



# **Vehicle and engine efficiency**

#### International Transport Forum Vehicle and engine efficiency COST-EFFECTIVENESS



 Improving efficiency of vehicles (engine, rolling resistance, aerodynamics etc.) is the most effective CO2 reducing measure (not including alternative fuels)

 High Capacity Vehicles more impacts on operational improvements than CO2 reduction

Effective in CO2 reduction Operational improvements

Vehicle and engine efficiency

International Transport Forum

**MARKET UPTAKE** 

# To what extent should high capacity vehicles be deployed for long-haul operations?



But around half put high restrictions (or completely object)

## International Transport Forum Vehicle and engine efficiency BARRIERS Regulation 42% Road infrastructure limitations (e.g. bridges, crossings and 42% junctions) and damage increase. Limited to certain demand characteristics (e.g. high volumes of 11% bulk commodities)

Other

5%

#### **High Capacity Vehicles**

Regulation and Infrastructure limitations



Initial costs, lack of strict fuel and emissions standards



# **Intelligent systems and driver training**



### EFFECTIVENESS

Driver training the most effective in decreasing CO2 emissions in this group (above overall average).

□ Truck platooning on the average, Autonomous Trucks below.



Effective in CO2 reduction



#### **MARKET UPTAKE**

# To what extent are driver training and assisted driving currently employed across the industry?







By 2020 Between 2020 and 2030 Between 2030 and 2050 After 2050 Never I don't know

#### International Transport Forum BARRIERS IS and driver training Security and safety concerns (e.g. vulnerability to "hacking") 26% Permits, standards and regulations (e.g. labour laws, regulations 20% and insurance) Required changes to road infrastructure (e.g. highway 18% entrance/exit ramps, reinforce structure, sensors) Vehicle technology (sensors, equipment and digital tech) 18% Competitive pressure will limit platooning opportunities between 9% trucks of different companies Cultural barriers, resistence from general public 8% Truck platooning Other 2%

Security and safety, Regulation





# **Emerging Trends**



**Emerging Trends** 

### **EFFECTIVENESS**

 E-commerce is the least effective CO2 reduction measure/trend, Crowdshipping is second worst overall

### Collection points slightly above overall average



Effective in CO2 reduction

# Transport Forum

Tighter delivery windows and service requirements constrain efforts to optimise operations and decrease available capacity use

Increased economies of scale will allow for more efficient operations and higher vehicle capacity utilisation

Increased market control by E-commerce giants will reduce prices for carriers and the trucking industry

Facilitates collaboration, freight matching and asset sharing

Less ability of independent trucking companies to renew their equipment and competitive pressure that can lead to increased empty runs and lower load...

Facilitates the adoption of new standards and technologies



## **E-COMMERCE MOST RELEVANT IMPACTS**

19%

- Increased service requirements that constrain efforts to Optimise
- G1% stated they could significantly reduce private trips for shopping
- E-commerce companies
  will increasingly play a
  dominant role as logistic
  services providers





## **EXOGENOUS FACTORS**

## Reshoring

- No consensus on demand
- Decreased distances

## **3D** printing

- Not significant on demand
- Not significant or decreased distance
- □ Shifts in trade routes
- Increased demand
- No consensus on distance

#### MOST LIKELY TRENDS AND LOGISTIC MEASURES BY 2030







# Pressing challenges, policy priorities and who will lead transformation?



## **PRESSING CHALLENGES**

#### Different pressing challenges by level of economic development





## **PRESSING CHALLENGES**

	Low-income	Middle-income	High-income
1	Lack of adequate infrastructure	Environmental impacts and energy consumption	Environmental impacts and energy consumption
2	Inadequate regulation and legal framework	Safety and security issues	Shocks from new entrants and disruptive technologies
3	Safety and security issues	Increase productivity and resource use efficiency	Increase productivity and resource use efficiency

□ Environmental issues are the most pressing challenges in middle- and highincome countries, lack of infrastructure in low-income countries

□ Shocks from new entrants and disruptive technologies are an important challenge in High-income countries, Safety and security in middle income and inadequate regulation in low income



### **POLICY PRIORITIES**

#### **Policy priorities for each country group vary**





## **POLICY PRIORITIES**

	Low-income	Middle-income	High-income
1	Improve infrastructure supply	Fuel efficiency and emissions standards for vehicles	Fuel efficiency and emissions standards for vehicles
2	Reform regulation and legal framework for the sector	Pricing mechanisms	Pricing mechanisms
3	Fuel efficiency and emissions standards for vehicles	Reform regulation and legal framework for the sector	Total or partial bans on certain vehicle types

**□** Fuel efficiency and emissions standards for vehicles a top priority for all countries

Pricing mechanisms on high- and middle income countries, total or partial bans on high-income countries

□ Improving infrastructure is the number one priority for low income countries



## WHO WILL LEAD TRANSFORMATION?

21%

Government and regulators

New entrants (e.g. mega E-commerce retailers and/or digital platform developers)

Retailers/Shippers (e.g. Walmart, Nestlé...)

Logistic suppliers (e.g. DHL, UPS)

Other

Vehicle manufactures (e.g. Renault-Nissan-Mitsubishi Alliance, Volvo...)

Energy companies (e.g. BP, Exxon Mobil, Aramco...)



Government and regulators almost 30%

### Private sector combined 70%

29%

 New entrants will lead on the private side



# **Summary of results**





# **Summary of results**

7

# Effective in CO2 reduction?

Improving
 vehicle efficiency
 (vehicle
 technologies) is
 the most
 effective of these
 alternatives

# E-commerce the worst

# **Transport Forum**

# **Summary of results**

- Logistics Optimisation and collaboration is the measure that gathers more consensus.
- Alternative Fuels Solution for Urban and Regional. Mixed results for the Long-haul. Charging and supply network is a key barrier.
- Vehicle efficiency High cost-effectiveness of CO2 emissions reduction. Initial costs and lack of strict standards are barriers.
- Intelligent systems and driver training Driver training is cost-effective and has room to grow. Truck platooning and Autonomous trucks benefits will reduce cost. In wide use by 2030-2050.
- Emerging trends E-commerce is to gain speed, which will not help decarbonise the sector. Re-shoring and 3D printing not likely.
- Challenges and Policy Environmental impacts a challenge in High and Middle income countries. Safety and security important in Middle and Low income countries. Shocks with new entrants in High income. Fuel efficiency standards a policy priority (H/M), legal framework (M/L). Infrastructure the most important for Low income group.



# Thank you

Francisco Furtado Francisco.FURTADO@itf-oecd.org

www.itf-oecd.org

