Forecasting Travel Time Reliability in Road Transport

a new model for The Netherlands

ITF/ OECD Roundtable on quantifying the socio-economic benefits of transport 9-10 November 2015

Marco Kouwenhoven Significance



Pim Warffemius

KiM Netherlands Institute for Transport Policy Analysis



Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment



Reliability incorporated in CBA

- In The Netherlands, transport projects and policies are ex-ante evaluated by CBA
- Since 2004, extra benefits are added to account for reliability
 - 25% of travel time benefits due to reduced congestion
 - Only for road projects
- However this does not evaluate consequences of policies that especially affect travel time variability
- From the start this method was meant to be replaced by a better method



Capturing travel time reliability in CBA

We need :

• Value of travel time reliability



- Model to forecast travel time reliabilities
 - \circ $\;$ with & without an infrastructure project / policy



- Model to predict changes in user behaviour
 - \circ $\;$ Route choice / mode choice / departure time choice





Towards a new model for The Netherlands

- A project was started in 2013 to adapt the Dutch national and regional transport models to capture reliability
- Objective was to find a (new) empirical relation between reliability of car travel times and other variables available in the transport model
- The improved modelling to forecast travel time variability will be implemented in Dutch policy making
- Incorporating consequences of policies affecting travel time reliability into CBA encourages proper consideration of options

Deriving an empirical relation for travel time reliability



Methodology (1)

- In the Netherlands, travel time reliability is defined as the standard deviation of the distribution of all possible deviations from the expected travel time
 - □ Practical considerations
 - □ Consistent with VTTRS study
 - Viewpoint of the traveller
- This is approached by compiling the travel-time distribution of all mean travel times on a number of days when departing at the same time
 - Door-to-door
 - Including a correction for the expected travel time
 - □ Excluding outliers





significance

Travel time expectation

We assume that the expected travel time is equal to the average travel time of the same day in the four weeks before and after

| 55) | | Ja | nua | iri 2 | 012 | 2 | | 365) Februari 2012 | | | | | | (365) Maart 2012 | | | | | | 365) | | April 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------|----|-----|-------|-----|----|----|--------------------|------|---------|---------|----------------|-----|------------------|----|-----|------|-----|------|-------------|----|------------|----|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| | Ма | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | | Ма | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | | Ма | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | | Ма | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo |
| 52 | | | | | | | 1 | 5 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 13 | | | _ | | | | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 3 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | | | | \succ | | | | | | | | | | | | | 16 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 4 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 8 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 12 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 5 | 30 | 31 | | | | | | 9 | 27 | 28 | 29 | | | | | 13 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | | 18 | 30 | | | | | | |
| 5) | | | Mei | 201 | 12 | | | 365 | | | uni | 20 | 19 | | | 365 | | | Juli | 20. | 12 | | | 365 | | ٨ | jusi | | 201 | 2 | |
| | Ма | | | | Vr | Za | Zo | 303 | Ма | Di | | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | 303 | Ма | | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | 303 | Ma | Di | Wo | | Vr | | z |
| 8 | Ivia | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 22 | Ivia | Di | wo | DU | 1 | 2 | 3 | 26 | Ivia | | **0 | 00 | VI | Za | 1 | 31 | wia | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | _ | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | 27 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | | | 1 | 2 | | | 100 |
| 19 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 23 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 28 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 32 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 1: |
| 20 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 29 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 33 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| 21 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 30 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 34 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 22 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | | | | 26 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | | 31 | 30 | 31 | | | | | | 35 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | | |
| 7 | 0 | | | hav | | 10 | | | | | l e b | | 0.4 | | | | | low | | | 00 | 10 | | | | | | | 00 | | |
| 55) | | | tem | | | | | 365 | | 1240340 | tob | 1000 (Barel 18 | | | | 365 | | | | 12 12 12 12 | 20 | | | 365 | | | em | | | | |
| | Ма | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | | Ма | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | | Ма | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za | Zo | 10 | Ma | Di | Wo | Do | Vr | Za 1 | Z |
| 35 | | | | | | 1 | 2 | 40 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 44 | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 48 | 0 | | F | 0 | - | | 2 |
| 36 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 41 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 45 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 49 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| 37 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 42 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 46 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 50 | 10 17 | 11 18 | 12 19 | 13 20 | 14 21 | 15 22 | 23 |
| 38 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 43 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 47 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 51 52 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 30 |
| 39 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 44 | 29 | 30 | 31 | | | | | 48 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | | | 1 | 31 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 29 | 31 |

significance

Travel time expectation vs. observed



significance

Exclusion of outliers

quantitative research



10

Methodology (2)

- Use an empirical relation between standard deviation and other parameters available in the transport models to forecast reliability
 - □ Relation with travel time, mean delay, intensity, etc.
 - □ Post-processing



Reliability data for highways



significance

12

Best empirical relation for highways



Best empirical relation for other roads



antitative research

14

What to remember (1)

Use consistent definitions

- □ Valuation of reliability
- □ Empirical relation for reliability
- □ Transport model application
- Functional form of the empirical relation depends on type of road
- For Dutch highways, a combination of a linear and a logarithmic function works well
- Coefficients are significantly different between time-of-day periods
- No distinction made (yet) for freight traffic



What to remember (2)

significance

uantitative research

The marginal rate of reliability depends on length of the route





What to remember (3)

The variation of standard deviation by routes may follow a different relation than the variation by 15-minute periods





What to remember (4)

signifi

intitative research

 Outlier-exclusion and expected-travel-time-correction have a major impact on the coefficients, not on the functional form
 Be careful when comparing coefficients from different studies



Implications for CBA



Implications for CBA

Current practice: 25% of travel time benefits due to reduced congestion

New instrument: benefits depends on

- □ Type of travel time gain
 - shorter route versus reduction of congestion
- □ Type of road
 - Highways versus other roads
- □ Length of the route
- Local maximum speed
 - Mean delay is defined with respect to maximum speed

Test: reliability benefits are (roughly) between 15% and 60% of travel time gains



Test results

significance

quantitative research







Future steps

Short term:

- Develop a similar methodology for public transport
- Expansion for non-highway routes

Long term:

significance

ative research

- Study of specific policies that affect unreliability
 changing the maximum speed or ramp metering
- Feed-back loop in the transport model
 Changes in reliability should lead to changes in choice behaviour
- Study of robustness / extreme events