

The Procurement Design Assessment System: The roadmap towards it

Paris, 22 June 2018 Dejan Makovšek, ITF Adrian Bridge, QUT







Two challenges to advancing procurement

A lack of:

- Data on procurement performance
- Evidence based approach (including operational data) to procurement design



Contract formats are many



options



... and only a little evidence on performance

Most evidence is about on cost (and time) performance of low powered contracts for example

Source	Reference estimate	Project type	Time period ¹	Observ.	Average Cost overrun (%)	Area
Cantarelli et al.2012b, Flyvbjerg et al. 2003	Decision to build	Roads	1927-2009	278	21.2	NW Europe
		Bridges, tunnels		39	25.3	
Cantarelli et al. 2012a	Decision to build	Roads	1980-2009	37	18.9	Netherlands
		Bridges, tunnels		15	21.7	
Makovšek et al. 2012	Decision to build	Roads	1995-2007	36	19.19	Slovenia
Lundberg et al. 2011	Decision to build	Roads	1997-2009	102	21.2	Sweden
Lee et al. 2008	Decision to build	Roads	1985-2005	138	11.0	South Korea
Ellis et al. 2007	Detailed design	Roads & bridges	1998-2006	1847	-13.40	USA
Odeck, 2004	Detailed design	Roads	1992-1995	620	7.88	Norway
Cantarelli et al. 2012c	Detailed design	Roads	1980-2009	23	-2.9	Netherlands
Ellis et al., 2007	Contract value	Roads & bridges	1998-2006	1908	9.36	USA
Bordat et al. 2004	Contract value	Roads	1996-2001	599	5.6	USA
Hintze and Selstead 1991	Contract value	Roads	1985-1989	110	9.2	USA



Many opinions on how contracts and concepts should perform...

Some examples:

- Bundling design & build will reduce variation claims due to errors and omissions in design and lead to better project outcomes.
- Stronger enforcement package (e.g. performance bonds) leads to overall better contract performance.
- In PPPs bundling DB with OM will lead to life-cycle cost optimisation.



... actually very little evidence

- Just looking at on-time/on-budget performance is insufficient, a view on end cost is necessary as well!
- E.g. evidence on superior on-time/on-budget of D&B vs DBB for transport infrastructure potentially available, but no view on end cost.
- What about quality/service levels?
- In-house transaction cost?







Towards evidence based procurement design

Optimising procurement

8

ITF

Optimal risk pricing requires breaking the project down into different activities/contracts QUT Interfaces between contract choices and competition, bundling...



Economics about procurement...

- Procurement, or planning the contract, is like a hurdle race with key decision-points that need to be cleared, or optimised:
 - make-or-buy decision (given current capacities or long-term prospects);
 - bundling activities (bundling decision/LCC);
 - based on competition or collaboration.









Contract theory increases our understanding





... adding a capabilities perspective to address incompleted explanations from, NIE/contract theory i.e. adding Barney's Resource-Based Theory to advance our understanding...



Planning the contract



Infrastructure procurement in practice?

An example of an advanced economy (Australia; similar to UK):

- Step 1: Data gathering (objectives, risks; agency's and market sounding/capability, unique project characteristics)
- Step 2: Shortlist delivery models (consider suitability of PPP, Alliancing, Managing contractor model)
- Step 3: Validation (what precedents exist for this project? What does the market think?)
- Step 4: Delivery model options analysis (Which model best achieves objectives and reduces risk?)
- Step 5: Preferred delivery model (structure preferred delivery model, consider risk; approve; execute gateway review)



How is infrastructure procurement informed in practice?

Business Case

North East Link Business Case Announcement



Download transcript

North East Link will be the biggest transport project in Victoria's history - finally fixing the missing link in Melbourne's freeway network.

An example of an advanced economy e.g. Melbourne's North-East Link project, Australia (business case at: <u>https://northeastlink.vic.gov.au/project/businesscase</u>)



How is infrastructure procurement informed in practice?





Infrastructure procurement in practice?





How is infrastructure procurement informed in practice?





Towards PDAS

PDAS= Procurement Design Assessment System: QUT have developed the core...

Step 1. Activity Analysis (splitting the project into activities/highest level of specialization on the market)

- Step 2. Make-or-Buy Analysis
- Step 3. **Bundling** Analysis (chasing LCC optimisation)

Step 4. **Exchange Relationship** Analysis (what contract type/power for each bundle)



The aim in bundling and make or buy





How it should have been done





Pieces to be developed to get to PDAS

Aspects to be developed/expanded the current model

- **Consolidation** of multiple contract bundles/packages using multiple risk treatment (multiple exchange types in one contract) in conjunction with multiple sources of finance
- Consideration of activities in network settings
- Integrating insights from our WG Synthesis report and current model
- Inputs from ex-post analysis lacking at the moment (i.e. the first challenge of procurement)



Thank you!

Dejan Makovšek, ITF E dejan.makovšek@itf-oecd.org

Adrian Bridge, QUT E a.bridge@qut.edu.au



